## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	Γ
TOR THE BEEVEN THE CIRCUIT	FILED
	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
	MAY 12, 2005
No. 03-14338	, in the second
	THOMAS K. KAHN
Non-Argument Calendar	CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 03-00015-CR-01	-1
INITED STATES OF AMEDICA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	Plaintiff-Appellee,
	r iamum-Appenee,
versus	
V •10 tb	
TYSON EUGENE MARSHEK,	
Troot Be der te ith marient,	
	Defendant-Appellant.
	rr
Appeal from the United States District	Court
for the Southern District of Georgi	
for the Southern District of Georgi	ıa
(May 12, 2005)	
(May 12, 2005)	

## ON REMAND FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Before ANDERSON and BIRCH, Circuit Judges, and ROYAL\*, District Judge.

<sup>\*</sup>Honorable C. Ashley Royal, United States District Judge for the Middle District of Georgia, sitting by designation.

## PER CURIAM:

We previously affirmed the sentence in this case after oral argument. <u>United States v. Marshek</u>, No. 03-14338 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. Aug. 17, 2004). The Supreme Court has vacated our prior judgment and remanded the case to us for further consideration in light of <u>Booker v. United States</u>, 543 U.S. \_\_\_, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005). Having reconsidered our decision pursuant to the Supreme Court's instructions, we reinstate our judgment affirming the sentence.

When Marshek was before this court prior to the <u>certiorari</u> petition being filed, he did not raise any issue based upon <u>Booker</u>, <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 542 U.S. \_\_\_\_\_, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), or <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466, 120 S.Ct. 2348 (2000).

Following the well-established rule in this circuit, see <u>United States v. Levy</u>, 379 F.3d 1241, 1242 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004), reh'g en banc denied, 391 F.3d 1327 (11th Cir. 2004), issues that are not timely raised in the briefs are deemed abandoned. In <u>United States v. Ardley</u>, 242 F.3d 989, 990 (11th Cir. 2001), we applied this rule to a case remanded from the Supreme Court in light of <u>Apprendi</u>. Recently, we applied <u>Ardley</u> to a post-<u>Booker</u> remand and found that the defendant had abandoned his <u>Booker</u> claim because he failed to raise it at the district court or in his initial brief. <u>United</u> States v. Dockery, F.3d , 2005 WL 487735 (11th Cir. Mar. 3, 2005).

Our opinion affirming the sentence in this case is accordingly  $\ensuremath{\mathbf{REINSTATED}}.$